arXiv:1304.3570v1 [math.AP] 12 Apr 2013

GLOBAL DYNAMICS BELOW THE GROUND STATE ENERGY FOR THE KLEIN-GORDON-ZAKHAROV SYSTEM IN THE 3D RADIAL CASE

ZIHUA GUO, KENJI NAKANISHI, SHUXIA WANG

Abstract. We consider the global dynamics below the ground state energy for the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system in the 3D radial case; and obtain the dichotomy between scattering and finite time blow up.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we continue our study [9] on the global Cauchy problem for the 3D Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u} - \Delta u + u = nu, \\ \ddot{n}/\alpha^2 - \Delta n = -\Delta u^2, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

with the initial data

$$u(0,x) = u_0,\ \dot{u}(0,x) = u_1,\ n(0,x) = n_0,\ \dot{n}(0,x) = n_1, \tag{1.2}$$

where $(u,n)(t,x) : \mathbb{R}^{1+3} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and $\alpha > 0, \alpha \neq 1$ denotes the ion sound speed. It preserves the energy

$$E(u,\dot{u},n,\dot{n}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\dot{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|D^{-1}\dot{n}|^2/\alpha^2 + |n|^2}{4} - \frac{n|u|^2}{2} dx, \tag{1.3}$$

where $D := \sqrt{-\Delta}$, as well as the radial symmetry.

This system describes the interaction between Langmuir waves and ion sound waves in a plasma (see [1], [5]). The local well-posedness (for arbitrary initial data) and global well-posedness (for small initial data) of (1.1) with $\alpha < 1$ in the energy space $H^1 \times L^2$ was proved by Ozawa, Tsutaya and Tsutsumi in [20]. We point out that (1.1) does not have null form structure as in Klainerman and Machedon [13] and this suggests that when $\alpha = 1$ the system (1.1) may be locally ill-posed in $H^1 \times L^2$ (cf. the counter example of Lindblad [14] for similar equations). Hence, we suppose $\alpha \neq 1$ here. When the first equation of (1.1) is replaced by $c^{-2}\ddot{u} - \Delta u + c^2 u = -nu$, Masmoudi and Nakanishi studied the limit system ($c, \alpha \to \infty$) and the behavior of their solutions in a series of papers [15]-[17]. The instability of standing wave of Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system was studied in [6], [10] and [19]. Recently, in [9] the authors obtained scattering for radial initial data with small energy in the 3D case, by using the normal form reduction and radial-improved Strichartz estimates. The purpose of this paper is to consider the global dynamics for larger data under the radial symmetry. The idea of this paper is the same with [8], in which we studied the global dynamics of Zakharov system. The main difference is that we can prove blow-up in finite time on one side of the dichotomy of global dynamics, whereas for the Zakharov system the existence of any blow-up solution is still an open problem in three dimensions.

It is well known [3, 4, 21] that there exists a unique radial positive ground state $Q(x)$, solving the static equation

$$-\Delta Q + Q = Q^3, \tag{1.4}$$

with the least energy

$$J(Q) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|Q|^2 + |\nabla Q|^2}{2} - \frac{|Q|^4}{4} dx > 0, \tag{1.5}$$

among all nontrivial solutions of (1.4).

Since the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system (1.1) has the following radial standing waves

$$(u, n) = (\pm Q, Q^2), \tag{1.6}$$

the goal of this study is to determine global dynamics of all the radial solutions "*below*" the above family of special solutions, in the spirit of Kenig-Merle [12], namely the variational dichotomy into the scattering solutions and the blowup solutions. For the dichotomy, we need to introduce two functionals (for Klein-Gordon equation), both of which are the scaling derivative of the static Klein-Gordon energy J:

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(\varphi) &:= \partial_\lambda|_{\lambda=1} J(\lambda\varphi(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\varphi|^2 + |\nabla\varphi|^2 - |\varphi|^4\right) dx, \\ K_2(\varphi) &:= \partial_\lambda|_{\lambda=1} J(\lambda^{3/2}\varphi(\lambda x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|\nabla\varphi|^2 - \frac{3|\varphi|^4}{4}\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that*

$$(u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1) \in H^1_r(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2_r(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2_r(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \dot{H}^{-1}_r(\mathbb{R}^3) \tag{1.8}$$

is radial and satisfies

$$E(u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1) < J(Q). \tag{1.9}$$

Then for both $i = 0, 2$, we have

(a) if $K_i(u_0) \geq 0$, then (1.1) *has a unique global solution (u, n), which scatters both as $t \to \infty$ and as $t \to -\infty$ in the energy space;*

(b) if $K_i(u_0) < 0$, then the solution (u, n) of (1.1) *blows up in finite time.*

Remark 1. The condition (1.9) is sharp in view of the standing wave solutions (1.6)which satisfies $E = J(Q)$ and $K_i = 0$ with different behavior from (a) and (b).

Remark 2. The result (b) is also true for non-radial case. See the proof in Section 3.

2. Hamiltonian and variational structures

2.1. **Virial identity.**

We derive a virial identity on $\mathbb{R}^d$ here, which is similar to that in [8] and will play a crucial role in the proof of the scattering.

Let

$$I(t) = -2\langle \dot{u}, (x\cdot\nabla + \frac{d}{2})u\rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\langle D^{-1}\dot{n}, D^{-1}(x\cdot\nabla + \frac{d+1}{2})n\rangle, \tag{2.1}$$

by integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'(t) &= 2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot{n}\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2 - \frac{d+1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} nu^2 dx \\ &= 2K_2(u) + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot{n}\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|n-u^2\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{d-1}{2}\langle n-u^2, u^2\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

2.2. **Variational estimates.**

Let

$$G_0(\varphi) := J - \frac{K_0}{4} = \frac{1}{4}\|\varphi\|_{H^1}^2, \quad G_2(\varphi) := J - \frac{K_2}{3} = \frac{1}{6}\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{2.3}$$

The following characterization of the ground state Q is well known (cf. Lemma 2.1 in [18]).

Lemma 2.1. *For $i = 0, 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} J(Q) &= \inf\{J(\varphi) | 0 \neq \varphi \in H^1, K_i = 0\} \\ &= \inf\{G_i(\varphi) | 0 \neq \varphi \in H^1, K_i \leq 0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and these infima are achieved uniquely by the ground states $\pm Q$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} E(u, \dot{u}, n, \dot{n}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\dot{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|D^{-1}\dot{n}|^2/\alpha^2 + |n|^2}{4} - \frac{n|u|^2}{2} dx \\ &= J(u) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\dot{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|D^{-1}\dot{n}|^2}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{|n-u^2|^2}{4} dx \geq J(u), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

by energy conservation and Lemma 2.12 in [11], we have

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that (u, n) is a solution to (1.1) with maximal interval I satisfying*

$$E(u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1) < J(Q). \tag{2.6}$$

Then there exist $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t \in I$, one has either

$$\begin{cases} K_0(u) \leq -2(J(Q) - E(u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1)), \\ K_2(u) \leq -2(J(Q) - E(u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1)), \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} K_0(u) \geq \min(2(J(Q) - E(u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1)), \delta\|u\|_{H^1}^2), \\ K_2(u) \geq \min(2(J(Q) - E(u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1)), \delta\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Especially, $K_0(u)$ and $K_2(u)$ have the same sign and neither of them changes the sign on I.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that* (u,n) *is a solution to* (1.1) *with maximal interval* I *satisfying*

$$E(u_0,u_1,n_0,n_1) < J(Q); \quad K_i(u_0) \ge 0 \text{ for } i=0,2. \tag{2.9}$$

Then $I=(-\infty,\infty)$, *and moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} E(u,\dot u,n,\dot n) &\sim \|u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\dot u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot n\|_{\dot H^{-1}}^2 && (2.10)\\ &\sim \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_1\|_{\dot H^{-1}}^2. && (2.11)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $K_2(u(t)) \ge 0$, we get (2.10) immediately from

$$\begin{aligned} J(Q) \ge &E(u,\dot u,n,\dot n) - K_2(u(t))/3 \\ =&\frac{1}{6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\|u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\dot u\|_{L^2}^2}{2} + \frac{\|D^{-1}\dot n\|_{L^2}^2}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{4}\|n-u^2\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

By the Sobolev inequality $\|u\|_{L^4} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1}$, $(u,\dot u,n,\dot n)(t)$ is bounded in $H^1\times L^2\times L^2\times \dot H^{-1}$, and thus by the local wellposedness we have $I=(-\infty,\infty)$. □

So far, the global well-posedness of part (a) of Theorem 1.1 is proved. It remains to prove the scattering and part (b). For all purposes, the virial estimates play crucial roles and we will use the following key observation.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *and* $\nu \ge 0$ *satisfy*

$$J(\varphi) + \frac{\nu^2}{4} \le J(Q). \tag{2.13}$$

Then, for $i=0,2$, *we have*

$$\begin{cases} K_i(\varphi) \ge 0 \implies 4K_2(\varphi) + \nu^2 \ge \sqrt{6}\nu\|\varphi\|_{L^4}^2, \\ K_i(\varphi) \le 0 \implies K_0(\varphi) \le -\nu\|\varphi\|_{L^4}^2. \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. The first inequality has been proved in [8] by considering the L^2 scaling of φ. Here, we only prove the second one and the third one.

If $K_i(\varphi)=0$ then $\nu=0$ and the conclusion is trivial. Hence we may assume $K_i(\varphi)\ne 0$ as well as $\nu>0$.

For $K_i(\varphi)<0$, consider the L^0 scaling of φ. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &J(\mu\varphi) = \frac{\mu^2}{2}\|\varphi\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{\mu^4}{4}\|\varphi\|_{L^4}^4, \\ &\mu\partial_\mu J(\mu\varphi) = K_0(\mu\varphi) = \mu^2\|\varphi\|_{H^1}^2 - \mu^4\|\varphi\|_{L^4}^4. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

There is a unique $0<\mu\ne 1$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^1}^2 = \mu^2\|\varphi\|_{L^4}^4, \tag{2.16}$$

which is equivalent to $K_0(\mu\varphi)=0$. Then the variational characterization of Q implies $J(\mu\varphi)\ge J(Q)$, and so

$$\frac{\nu^2}{4} \le J(\mu\varphi) - J(\varphi) = \frac{(\mu^2-1)^2}{4}\|\varphi\|_4^4. \tag{2.17}$$

By denoting $X := \|\varphi\|_4^2/\nu$, the above inequality is rewritten as

$$|\mu^2-1|X \ge 1. \tag{2.18}$$

Hence, for $K_0(\varphi) < 0$, or equivalently $0 < \mu < 1$,

$$\frac{\nu\|\varphi\|_4^2}{-K_0(\varphi)} = \frac{1}{(1-\mu^2)X} < 1. \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore, the proof of the lemma is completed. □

3. Blow up in finite time

This section is devoted to proving part (b) of Theorem 1.1. Suppose for contradiction that the solution (u, n) exists for all $t > 0$. We define an auxiliary function

$$\tilde{I}_1(t) = \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.1}$$

By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{I}_1''(t) &= 2\|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} nu^2 dx \\ &= 2\|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 - 2K_0(u) + 2\langle n-u^2, u^2\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

By Lemma 2.4 with $\nu > \|n-u^2\|_{L^2}$ and Hölder, we have

$$\tilde{I}_1''(t) \geq \kappa, \tag{3.3}$$

for some $\kappa \sim J(Q) - E(u_0, u_1, n_0, n_1) > 0$. Hence $\tilde{I}_1(t)$ is a uniformly convex function of t, and $\tilde{I}_1(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to +\infty$.

Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a fixed radial function satisfying $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. For any $n_0 \in L^2$, we can choose $K > 0$ large enough such that $\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi(2^K\xi)\mathcal{F}n_0\|_{L^2} = \varepsilon_0 \ll 1$, where ε_0 will be decided later. Denote $n_{0,K} = P_{\leq -K}n_0 := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi(2^K\xi)\mathcal{F}n_0$. Inspired by [10] and [19], we set

$$\tilde{I}_2(t) = \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|n(t) - n_{0,K}\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2, \tag{3.4}$$

then

$$\tilde{I}_2'(t) = 2\langle u(t), \dot{u}(t)\rangle_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\langle n(t) - n_{0,K}, \dot{n}(t)\rangle_{\dot{H}^{-1}} \tag{3.5}$$

and for t sufficiently large, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{I}_2''(t) = 5\|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 &+ \frac{5}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot{n}\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2 - 6E(u, \dot{u}, n, \dot{n}) \\ &+ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|n\|_{L^2}^2 + \langle n_{0,K}, n-u^2\rangle \\ \geq 5(&\|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot{n}\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2),\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

since $|\langle n_{0,K}, n-u^2\rangle| \leq \|n_{0,K}\|_{L^2}\|n-u^2\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon_0(\|n\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2)$, and by choosing $\varepsilon_0 \ll 1$ such that $C\varepsilon_0 \leq 1/100$. Thus we get

$$\tilde{I}_2(t)\tilde{I}_2''(t) \geq \frac{5}{4}(\tilde{I}_2'(t))^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Using this result, we can obtain

$$[\tilde{I}_2^{-1/4}(t)]'' = -\frac{1}{4}\tilde{I}_2^{-9/4}(t)[\tilde{I}_2(t)\tilde{I}_2''(t) - \frac{5}{4}(\tilde{I}_2'(t))^2] \leq 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Hence $\tilde{I}_2^{-1/4}(t)$ is concave for sufficiently large t; and there exists a finite time T^* such that $\lim_{t\to T^*}\tilde{I}_2(t)=\infty$. Since

$$n=\cos(\alpha Dt)n_0+\frac{\sin(\alpha Dt)}{\alpha D}n_1+\operatorname{Im}\alpha\int_0^t e^{i\alpha D(t-s)}D|u(s)|^2ds, \tag{3.9}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|D^{-1}(n-n_{0,K})\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2((0,T^*)\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\lesssim&\|D^{-1}(I-P_{\le -K})n_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\alpha T^*\|P_{\le -K}n_0\|_2\\
&+T^*\|D^{-1}n_1\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+T^*\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^4((0,T^*)\times\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\lesssim&(2^K+T^*)\|n_0\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+T^*\|D^{-1}n_1\|_{L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+T^*\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1((0,T^*)\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Thus one has $T<\infty$ such that

$$\limsup_{t\to T^-}\|u(t)\|_{H^1}^2=\infty. \tag{3.11}$$

4. CONCENTRATION-COMPACTNESS PROCEDURE

It remains to prove the scattering in part (a) of Theorem 1.1. Thanks to the variational estimates in Section 2, we can proceed as Kenig-Merle.

To simply the presentation, we rewrite the system (1.1) into the first order as usual. Let

$$\mathcal{U}:=u-i\langle D\rangle^{-1}\dot{u},\quad \mathcal{N}:=n-iD^{-1}\dot{n}/\alpha, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\langle D\rangle=(I-\triangle)^{1/2}$, then the equations for $(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{N})$ are

$$\begin{cases}(i\partial_t+\langle D\rangle)\mathcal{U}=\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}/4+\bar{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{U}/4+\mathcal{N}\bar{\mathcal{U}}/4+\bar{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\mathcal{U}}/4),\\ (i\partial_t+\alpha D)\mathcal{N}=\alpha D(\mathcal{U}\bar{\mathcal{U}}/4+\bar{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{U}/4+\mathcal{U}^2/4+\bar{\mathcal{U}}^2/4)\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

with initial data $(\mathcal{U}_0,\mathcal{N}_0)\in H^1\times L^2$ and energy

$$E(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{N}):=E(u,\dot{u},n,\dot{n}),\quad K_i(\mathcal{U}):=K_i(u)\text{ for }i=0,2. \tag{4.3}$$

For each $0\le a\le J(Q)$ and $\lambda>0$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{K}^+(a):=\{(f,g)\in H_r^1\times L_r^2\mid E(f,g)<a,\ K_i(f)\ge 0, i=0,2\}\\
&\mathcal{S}(a):=\sup\{\|(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{N})\|_S\mid(\mathcal{U}(0),\mathcal{N}(0))\in\mathcal{K}^+(a),\ (\mathcal{U},\mathcal{N})\text{ sol.}\},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where S denotes a norm containing almost all the Strichartz norms for radial free solutions, including $L_t^\infty(H^1\times L^2)$. See (4.28) for the precise definition. For any time interval I, we will denote by $S(I)$ the restriction of S onto I.

From Corollary 2.3 we already know that all solutions starting from $\mathcal{K}^+(a)$ stays there globally in time. What we want to prove is the uniform scattering below the ground state energy, i.e. $\mathcal{S}(a)<\infty$ for all $a<J(Q)$. Let

$$E^*:=\sup\{a>0\mid\mathcal{S}(a)<\infty\}. \tag{4.5}$$

The small data scattering in [9] implies that $E^*>0$, and the existence of the ground state soliton implies that $E^*\le J(Q)$. We will prove $E^*=J(Q)$ by contradiction, and thus finish the proof of Theorem 1.1 (a). The main result in this section is

Lemma 4.1 (Existence of critical element)**.** *Suppose* $E^* < J(Q)$, *then there is a global solution* $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N})$ *in* $\mathcal{K}^+(a)$ *satisfying*

$$E(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N}) = E^*, \quad \|(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N})\|_{S(-\infty,0)} = \|(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N})\|_{S(0,\infty)} = \infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, $\{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N})(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *is precompact in* $H^1_x \times L^2_x$.

We will prove this lemma by the following concentration-compactness procedure. The main difference from Klein-Gordon is that we need to work with the solutions after the normal form transform. In particular, we have some nonlinear terms without time integration (or the Duhamel form). Besides that, we have various different interactions, for which we need to use different norms or exponents.

4.1. **Profiles for the radial Klein-Gordon-Zakharov.**

First we recall the free profile decomposition of Bahouri-Gérard type [2]. Actually we do not need its full power, as we can freeze scaling and space positions of the profiles thanks to the radial symmetry and the regularity room of our problem. Hence the setting is essentially the same as the Klein-Gordon case [18].

Lemma 4.2. *For any bounded sequence* (f_n, g_n) *in* $H^1_r \times L^2_r$, *there is a subsequence* (f'_n, g'_n), $\bar{J} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, *a bounded sequence* $\{\mathbf{f}^j, \mathbf{g}^j\}_{1 \le j < \bar{J}}$ *in* $H^1_r \times L^2_r$, *and sequences* $\{t^j_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le j < \bar{J}} \subset \mathbb{R}$, *such that the following holds. For any* $0 \le j \le J < \bar{J}$, *let*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{U}_n(t) := e^{it\langle D\rangle} f'_n, \quad \mathcal{N}_n(t) := e^{it\alpha D} g'_n, \\
&\mathbf{U}^j_n(t) := e^{i(t-t^j_n)\langle D\rangle} \mathbf{f}^j, \quad \mathbf{N}^j_n(t) := e^{i(t-t^j_n)\alpha D} \mathbf{g}^j, \\
&\mathcal{U}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n := \mathcal{U}_n - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathbf{U}^j_n, \quad \mathcal{N}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n := \mathcal{N}_n - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \mathbf{N}^j_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then for any $j, k \in \{1 \dots J\}$, *we have* $t^j_\infty := \lim_{n\to\infty} t^j_n \in \{0, \pm\infty\}$,

$$j \ne k \implies \lim_{n\to\infty} |t^j_n - t^k_n| = \infty, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathcal{U}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n, \mathcal{N}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n)(t^j_n) \to 0 \textit{ weakly in } H^1 \times L^2 \textit{as } n \to \infty, \\
&(\mathcal{U}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n, \mathcal{N}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n)(0) \to 0 \textit{ weakly in } H^1 \times L^2 \textit{as } n \to \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and for $\forall \delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{J\to\bar{J}} \limsup_{n\to\infty} [\|\mathcal{U}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n\|_{L^\infty_t B^{-1/2-\delta}_{\infty,2}} + \|\mathcal{N}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n\|_{L^\infty_t (\dot{B}^{-3/2-\delta}_{\infty,2} + \dot{B}^{-3/2+\delta}_{\infty,2})}] = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

Remark 3. 1) (4.8)–(4.9) implies the linear orthogonality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\|\mathcal{U}_n(0)\|^2_{H^1} - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\mathbf{U}^j_n(0)\|^2_{H^1} - \|\mathcal{U}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n(0)\|^2_{H^1} \right) = 0, \\
&\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\|\mathcal{N}_n(0)\|^2_{L^2} - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\mathbf{N}^j_n(0)\|^2_{L^2} - \|\mathcal{N}^{>\mathrm{J}}_n(0)\|^2_{L^2} \right) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

as well as the nonlinear orthogonality

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(K_i(\mathcal{U}_n(0))-\sum_{j=1}^{J}K_i(\mathbf{U}_n^j(0))-K_i(\mathcal{U}_n^{>\mathrm{J}}(0))\right)=0, i=0,2,\\
&\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(E(\mathcal{U}_n(0),\mathcal{N}_n(0))-\sum_{j=1}^{J}E(\mathbf{U}_n^j(0),\mathbf{N}_n^j(0))-E(\mathcal{U}_n^{>\mathrm{J}}(0),\mathcal{N}_n^{>\mathrm{J}}(0))\right)=0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.12}
$$

The same orthogonality holds also along $t=t_n^j$ instead of $t=0$.

2) The norms in (4.10) are related to the Sobolev embedding $L^2\subset\dot{B}_\infty^{-3/2}$. Interpolation with the Strichartz estimate extends the smallness to any Strichartz norms as far as the exponents are not sharp either in L^p or in regularity (including the low frequency of $\mathcal{N}$).

We call such a sequence of free solutions $\{(\mathbf{U}_n^j,\mathbf{N}_n^j)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a *free concentrating wave.* Now we introduce the nonlinear profile associated to a free concentrating wave

$$
(\mathbf{U}_n(t),\mathbf{N}_n(t))=U(t-t_n)(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}),\quad t_\infty=\lim_{n\to\infty}t_n\in\{0,\pm\infty\},
\tag{4.13}
$$

where $U(t)=e^{it\langle D\rangle}\oplus e^{it\alpha D}$ denotes the free propagator. With it, we associate the *nonlinear profile* $(\mathfrak{U},\mathfrak{N})$, defined as the solution of the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system satisfying

$$
(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{N})=U(t)(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g})-i\int_{-t_\infty}^{t}U(t-s)(\langle D\rangle^{-1}(nu),\alpha D|u|^2)(s)ds,
\tag{4.14}
$$

which is obtained by solving the initial data problem (if $t_\infty=0$) or by solving the final data problem (if $t_\infty=\pm\infty$).

We call $(\mathfrak{U}_n(t),\mathfrak{N}_n(t)):=(\mathfrak{U}(t-t_n),\mathfrak{N}(t-t_n))$ the *nonlinear concentrating wave* associated with $(\mathbf{U}_n(t),\mathbf{N}_n(t))$. By the above construction we have

$$
\|(\mathbf{U}_n,\mathbf{N}_n)(0)-(\mathfrak{U}_n,\mathfrak{N}_n)(0)\|_{H^1\times L^2}=\|U(-t_n)(\mathbf{f},\mathbf{g})-(\mathfrak{U},\mathfrak{N})(-t_n)\|_{H^1\times L^2}\to 0.
\tag{4.15}
$$

Given a sequence of solutions to the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system with bounded initial data, we can apply the free profile decomposition Lemma 4.2 to the sequence of initial data, and associate a nonlinear profile with each free concentrating wave. If all nonlinear profiles are scattering and the remainder is small enough, then we can conclude that the original sequence of nonlinear solutions is also scattering with a global Strichartz bound. More precisely, we have

Lemma 4.3. *For each free concentrating wave $(\mathbf{U}_n^j,\mathbf{N}_n^j)$ in Lemma 4.2, let $(\mathfrak{U}_n^j,\mathfrak{N}_n^j)$ be the associated nonlinear concentrating wave. Let $(\mathcal{U}_n,\mathcal{N}_n)$ be the sequence of nonlinear solutions with $(\mathcal{U}_n,\mathcal{N}_n)(0)=(f_n,g_n)$. If $\|(\mathfrak{U}_n^j,\mathfrak{N}_n^j)\|_{S(0,\infty)}<\infty$ for all $j<\bar{J}$, then*

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|(\mathcal{U}_n,\mathcal{N}_n)\|_{S(0,\infty)}<\infty.
\tag{4.16}
$$

To prove Lemma 4.3, we need some global stability. In the next subsection, we will refine the normal form reduction and the nonlinear estimates that was used in [9], and then prove Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.1.

4.2. **Nonlinear estimates with small non-sharp norms.** In order to obtain the nonlinear profile decomposition, we need that the non-sharp smallness (4.10) is sufficient to reduce the nonlinear interactions globally. The idea is to use interpolation, thus we need to do more refined estimates than in [9], more precisely, to avoid using the sharp (or endpoint) norms with L^2_t or L^∞_t.

4.2.1. *Modifying the nonresonant part.* Following the idea of [8], we modify the resonance decomposition in [9] of the bilinear interactions nu and $|u|^2$ as follows. Let $u = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} P_k u$ be the standard homogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition such that $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F} P_k u \subset \{2^{k-1} < |\xi| < 2^{k+1}\}$. For a parameter $\beta \geq k_\alpha + |\log_2 c_\alpha| + |\log_2 \delta_\alpha|$, where k_α, c_α and δ_α were given in [9] such that the resonance disappeared for

$$\sum_{\substack{|2^k - c_\alpha| > \delta_\alpha,\\ k\in\mathbb{Z}}} P_k \mathcal{N} P_{\leq k-k_\alpha} \mathcal{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{|2^k - c_\alpha| > \delta_\alpha,\\ k\in\mathbb{Z}}} P_k \mathcal{U} P_{\leq k-k_\alpha} \mathcal{U}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} XL &:= \{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \mid j \geq \max(k+k_\alpha, \beta)\},\\ RL &:= \{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |j| < \beta \text{ and } k \leq \max(j-k_\alpha, -\beta)\},\\ LL &:= \{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \max(j,k) \leq -\beta\},\\ LH &:= \{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \mid k > \max(j-k_\alpha, -\beta)\},\\ HH &:= \{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |j-k| < k_\alpha \text{ and } \max(j,k) \geq \beta\},\\ RR &:= \{(j,k)\in\mathbb{Z}^2 \mid \max(j,k) < \beta\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

and $LX := \{(k,j) \mid (j,k) \in XL\}$. Then

$$\mathbb{Z}^2 = (XL \cup LL) \cup (RL \cup LH) = (XL \cup LX) \cup (HH \cup RR), \tag{4.18}$$

where all the unions are disjoint. For any set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, and any functions $f(x), g(x)$, we denote the bilinear frequency cut-off to A by

$$(fg)_A = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \int \mathcal{P}_A \hat{f}(\xi-\eta)\hat{g}(\eta)d\eta := \sum_{(j,k)\in A} (P_j f)(P_k g). \tag{4.19}$$

For the nonlinear term $nu = \mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}/4 + \bar{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{U}/4 + \mathcal{N}\bar{\mathcal{U}}/4 + \bar{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\mathcal{U}}/4$, we apply the time integration by parts on $XL \cup LL$, where the phase factors

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= -\langle\xi\rangle + \alpha|\xi-\eta| + \langle\eta\rangle, & \omega_2 &= -\langle\xi\rangle - \alpha|\xi-\eta| + \langle\eta\rangle,\\ \omega_3 &= -\langle\xi\rangle + \alpha|\xi-\eta| - \langle\eta\rangle, & \omega_4 &= -\langle\xi\rangle - \alpha|\xi-\eta| - \langle\eta\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

are estimated

$$\omega_1, \omega_2 \sim_\alpha |\xi-\eta| \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_3, \omega_4 \sim_\alpha \langle\xi\rangle \quad \text{in } XL \cup LL, \tag{4.20}$$

both of which are gained in the bilinear operators

$$\Omega_i(f,g) := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \int \mathcal{P}_{XL\cup LL} \frac{\hat{f}(\xi-\eta)\hat{g}(\eta)}{\omega_i} d\eta, \ i = 1,2,3,4. \tag{4.21}$$

For the nonlinear term $u\bar{u} = \mathcal{U}\bar{\mathcal{U}}/4 + \bar{\mathcal{U}}\mathcal{U}/4 + \mathcal{U}^2/4 + \bar{\mathcal{U}}^2/4$, we integrate by parts on $XL \cup LX$. Then we get a bilinear operator of the form

$$\tilde{\Omega}_i(f,g) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\int \mathcal{P}_{XL\cup LX}\frac{\hat{f}(\xi-\eta)\hat{\bar{g}}(\eta)}{\tilde{\omega}_i}d\eta, \tag{4.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\omega}_1 &= -\alpha|\xi| + \langle\xi-\eta\rangle - \langle\eta\rangle, &\qquad \tilde{\omega}_2 &= -\alpha|\xi| - \langle\xi-\eta\rangle + \langle\eta\rangle,\\
\tilde{\omega}_3 &= -\alpha|\xi| + \langle\xi-\eta\rangle + \langle\eta\rangle, &\qquad \tilde{\omega}_4 &= -\alpha|\xi| - \langle\xi-\eta\rangle - \langle\eta\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ω_j and $\widetilde{\omega}_j$ are in the dual relation with the correspondence $\xi \mapsto \eta - \xi$, we have

$$\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2 \sim_\alpha |\xi| \ \text{ and } \ \tilde{\omega}_3, \tilde{\omega}_4 \sim_\alpha \langle\xi-\eta\rangle \ \text{ in } XL \cup LL. \tag{4.23}$$

In order to simplify the presentation, we assume that $\alpha < 1$[1] and the nonlinear terms in the first and second equation of (4.2) are $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ respectively. For other cases, the proof is almost the same.

After this modification of the normal form, we can rewrite the integral equation for (4.2) as follows. Let

$$\vec{\mathcal{U}} := (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N}), \quad \vec{\mathcal{U}}^0 := U(t)\vec{\mathcal{U}}(0) = (e^{it\langle D\rangle}\mathcal{U}(0), e^{it\alpha D}\mathcal{N}(0)). \tag{4.24}$$

For the fixed free solution $\vec{\mathcal{U}}^0$, the iteration $\vec{\mathcal{U}}' \mapsto \vec{\mathcal{U}}$ is given by

$$\vec{\mathcal{U}} = \vec{\mathcal{U}}^0 + U(t)B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}(0), \vec{\mathcal{U}}(0)) - B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}', \vec{\mathcal{U}}') - Q(\vec{\mathcal{U}}', \vec{\mathcal{U}}') - T(\vec{\mathcal{U}}', \vec{\mathcal{U}}', \vec{\mathcal{U}}'), \tag{4.25}$$

where the bilinear forms B, Q and the trilinear form T are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_2) :=& (\Omega(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{U}_2), D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)),\\
Q(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_2) :=& i\int_0^t U(t-s)(\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{U}_2)_{LH\cup RL}, D(\mathcal{U}_1\overline{\mathcal{U}}_2)_{HH\cup RR})(s)ds,\\
T(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_2, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_3) :=& i\int_0^t U(t-s)(\alpha\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(D(\mathcal{U}_1\overline{\mathcal{U}}_2), \mathcal{U}_3) + \langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N}_1, \langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{U}_3)),\\
& D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U}_1, \langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_2\mathcal{U}_3))(s))ds.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = \Omega_1$ and $\widetilde{\Omega} = \widetilde{\Omega}_1$. For brevity, we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
NL(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_2, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_3) :=& B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_2) + Q(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_2) + T(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_2, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_3), \quad NL(\vec{\mathcal{U}}) := NL(\vec{\mathcal{U}}, \vec{\mathcal{U}}, \vec{\mathcal{U}}),\\
B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}) :=& B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}, \vec{\mathcal{U}}), \quad Q(\vec{\mathcal{U}}) := Q(\vec{\mathcal{U}}, \vec{\mathcal{U}}), \quad T(\vec{\mathcal{U}}) := T(\vec{\mathcal{U}}, \vec{\mathcal{U}}, \vec{\mathcal{U}}).
\end{aligned}$$

We can estimate each term in the Duhamel formula using some powers of Strichartz norms with non-sharp exponents. For brevity of Hölder-type estimates, we denote the space-time norms by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(b, d, s) := L_t^{1/b}\dot{B}^s_{1/d,2},\\
&(b, d \pm \varepsilon, s)_+ := (b, d+\varepsilon, s) + (b, d-\varepsilon, s),\\
&(b, d \pm \varepsilon, s)_\cap := (b, d+\varepsilon, s) \cap (b, d-\varepsilon, s).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

---

[1] This is the physical case in plasma

Using the above notation, we introduce nearly full sets of the radial Strichartz norms for the Klein-Gordon and the wave equations. Fix small numbers

$$0 < \kappa \ll \varepsilon \ll 1, \tag{4.27}$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} &K := [(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0|1) \cap (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{10} - \frac{\kappa}{3}, \frac{2}{5} - \kappa | \frac{7}{10} + \frac{\kappa}{3})], \\ &W := (0, \frac{1}{2}, 0) \cap (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\kappa}{3}, -\frac{1}{4} - \kappa), \\ &S := K \times W. \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where $\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(b,d,s_1|s_2)} := \|P_{<0}\mathcal{U}\|_{(b,d,s_1)} + \|P_{\geq 0}\mathcal{U}\|_{(b,d,s_2)}$. Also we denote the smallness in (4.10) by using

$$\|\mathcal{U}\|_X := \|\mathcal{U}\|_{L_t^\infty(B_{\infty,2}^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta})}, \quad \|\mathcal{N}\|_Y := \|\mathcal{N}\|_{L_t^\infty(\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{-\frac{3}{2}-\delta} + \dot{B}_{\infty,2}^{-\frac{3}{2}+\delta})}, \quad Z := X \times Y. \tag{4.29}$$

In order to control the nonlinear terms by interpolation between S and Z, we will choose (b, d, s) for $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ respectively to be H^s admissible with $0 < s < 1$ and L^2 admissible for radial functions. Moreover, we will choose $b < 1/2$ and $(b, d) \neq (0, 1/2)$. Besides that, we will use the sum space[2] with small $\varepsilon > 0$ for $\mathcal{N}$ and the intersection for $\mathcal{U}$, so that we can dispose of very low or high frequencies, and sum over the dyadic decomposition without any difficulty.

4.2.2. *Bare bilinear terms.* First consider the bilinear terms which do not contain the time integration, namely the boundary term in the transform.

Lemma 4.4. *(a) There exists $\theta > 0$ such that for any $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{U}$, we have*

$$\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{U})\|_K \lesssim 2^{-\theta\beta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^\theta\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^\theta. \tag{4.30}$$

(b) There exists $\theta > 0$ such that for any $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$, we have

$$\|D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}')\|_W \lesssim 2^{-\theta\beta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^\theta\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^\theta. \tag{4.31}$$

Proof. (a) For $(j, k) \in XL$, we have only high frequencies. By the Coifman-Meyer-type bilinear estimate on dyadic pieces (see [7, Lemma 3.5]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N}_j, \mathcal{U}_k)\|_{(0,\frac{1}{2},0)} &\lesssim \|\langle D\rangle\Omega(\langle D\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j, \mathcal{U}_k)\|_{L^\infty L^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{1}{5}\pm\varepsilon,0)_+}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac{3}{10}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\beta/10}\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{1}{5}\pm\varepsilon,\frac{1}{10})_+}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac{3}{10}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap}, \end{aligned}$$

and by non-sharp Sobolev embedding

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{1}{5}\pm\varepsilon,\frac{1}{10})_+} \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{1}{4},-\frac{3}{4}\pm3\varepsilon)_+} \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{1}{2},0)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,0,-\frac{3}{2}\pm6\varepsilon)_+}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ &\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac{3}{10}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac{2}{5},\frac{3}{10}\pm3\varepsilon)_\cap} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac{1}{2},0|1)}^{\frac{4}{5}}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,0,-\frac{1}{2}-5\varepsilon)}^{\frac{1}{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

---

[2] This is because $\mathcal{N}(0) \in L^2$ while $\mathcal{U}(0) \in H^1 = L^2 \cap \dot{H}^1$.

Similarly, for $(j,k)\in LL$, we have only low frequencies and then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N}_j,\mathcal{U}_k)\|_{(0,\frac12,0)} &\lesssim \|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{2}{15}\pm\varepsilon,0)_+}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac{11}{30}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap}\\ &\lesssim 2^{-\beta/10}\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{2}{15}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac{1}{10})_+}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac{11}{30}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap}.\end{aligned}$$

By non-sharp Sobolev embedding,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{2}{15}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac{1}{10})_+}\lesssim\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(0,\frac{5}{12},-\frac14\pm3\varepsilon)_+}\lesssim\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{\frac56}_{(0,\frac12,0)}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{\frac16}_{(0,0,-\frac32\pm18\varepsilon)_+},\\ &\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac{11}{30}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap}\lesssim\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(0,\frac25,\frac{1}{10}\pm3\varepsilon)_\cap}\lesssim\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac45}_{(0,\frac12,0)}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac15}_{(0,0,-\frac12-\varepsilon)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain, after summation over dyadic decomposition,

$$\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{U})\|_{(0,\frac12,0|1)}\lesssim 2^{-\theta\beta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta},\tag{4.32}$$

for some small $\theta>0$. Similarly, for $(j,k)\in XL$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N}_j,\mathcal{U}_k)\|_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac{7}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\\ \lesssim&\|\langle D\rangle\Omega(\langle D\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j,\mathcal{U}_k)\|_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac{3}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\\ \lesssim&\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{7}{30}-\frac{\kappa}{3}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac{1}{10}-\kappa)_+}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac{1}{15}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac15+\frac43\kappa)_\cap}\\ \lesssim&2^{-\beta/5}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{7}{30}-\frac{\kappa}{3}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac{9}{10}-\kappa)_+}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac{1}{15}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac15+\frac43\kappa)_\cap}\end{aligned}\tag{4.33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{7}{30}-\frac{\kappa}{3}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac{9}{10}-\kappa)_+}\lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{11}{40}-\frac{\kappa}{6},-\frac{31}{40}-\frac{\kappa}{2}\pm3\varepsilon)_+}\lesssim\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{11}{40}-\frac{\kappa}{6},-\frac{17}{40}-\frac{\kappa}{2}\pm3\varepsilon)_+}\\ \lesssim&(\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{\frac38}_{(0,\frac12,0)}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{\frac58}_{(\frac12,\frac14-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac14-\kappa)})^{\frac45}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{\frac15}_{(0,0,-\frac32\pm15\varepsilon)_+},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac{1}{15}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac15+\frac43\kappa)_\cap}\lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac14-\frac16\kappa,\frac{7}{20}+\frac56\kappa\pm3\varepsilon)_\cap}\lesssim\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac14-\frac16\kappa,\frac{1}{20}-\frac{\kappa}{2}-3\varepsilon|\frac25+\frac{\kappa}{6}-3\varepsilon)}\\ \lesssim&(\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac57}_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac25-\kappa|\frac{7}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac27}_{(0,\frac12,0|1)})^{\frac{7}{10}}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac{3}{10}}_{(0,0,-\frac12-10\varepsilon)};\end{aligned}$$

and for $(j,k)\in LL$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N}_j,\mathcal{U}_k)\|_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},0)}\lesssim\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{1}{15}-\frac{\kappa}{3}\pm\varepsilon,0)_+}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac{7}{30}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap}\\ &\quad\lesssim 2^{-\beta/20}\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{1}{15}-\frac{\kappa}{3}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac{1}{20})_+}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac{7}{30}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap}\end{aligned}\tag{4.34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{1}{15}-\frac{\kappa}{3}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac{1}{20})_+}\lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac14,\frac{5}{24}-\frac{\kappa}{6},-\frac58-\frac{\kappa}{2}\pm3\varepsilon)_+}\\ \lesssim&(\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{\frac14}_{(0,\frac12,0)}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{\frac34}_{(\frac12,\frac14-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac14-\kappa)})^{\frac23}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{\frac13}_{(0,0,-\frac32\pm9\varepsilon)_+},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac{7}{30}\pm\varepsilon,0)_\cap}\lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac{7}{30}\pm\varepsilon,-\frac{1}{20})_\cap}\lesssim\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac14,\frac{11}{40}-\frac{\kappa}{6},\frac{3}{40}-\frac{\kappa}{2}-3\varepsilon)}\\ \lesssim&(\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac23}_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac25-\kappa)}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac13}_{(0,\frac12,0)})^{\frac34}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac14}_{(0,0,-\frac12-12\varepsilon)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence in either case we can control by non-sharp norms, so

$$\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{U})\|_K\lesssim 2^{-\theta\beta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta}.\tag{4.35}$$

(b) We may assume $(j,k) \in XL$, since the other case LX is treated in the same way. Similarly to the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U}_j,\mathcal{U}'_k)\|_{L^\infty L^2} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(0,\frac{1}{4},0)}\|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(0,\frac{1}{4},0)} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\beta/10}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(0,\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{10})}\|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(0,\frac{1}{4},0)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Note that we have only high frequencies for $\mathcal{U}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(0,\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{10})} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_j\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(0,\frac{1}{2},1)}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(0,0,-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)}, \\ \|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(0,\frac{1}{4},0)} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{U}'_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(0,\frac{1}{2},0|1)}\|\mathcal{U}'_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(0,0,-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}')\|_{L^\infty L^2} \lesssim 2^{-\theta\beta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^{\theta}. \tag{4.37}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U}_j,\mathcal{U}'_k)\|_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac{1}{4}-\kappa)} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{8}-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac{1}{8}-\kappa)}\|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{8},-\frac{1}{8})} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\beta/10}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{8}-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac{1}{40}-\kappa)}\|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{8},-\frac{1}{8})}\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{8}-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac{1}{40}-\kappa)} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{20}-\frac{\kappa}{6},\frac{1}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{2})} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_j\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac{7}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(0,0,-\frac{1}{2}-2\kappa)}; \\ \|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{8},-\frac{1}{8})} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{20}-\frac{\kappa}{6},-\frac{1}{20}-\frac{\kappa}{2})} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}'_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac{2}{5}-\kappa|\frac{7}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\|\mathcal{U}'_k\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{(0,0,-\frac{1}{2}-2\kappa)};\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\|D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}')\|_{W} \lesssim 2^{-\theta\beta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^{\theta}. \tag{4.39}$$

Thus the proof is completed. ☐

4.2.3. *Duhamel bilinear terms.* Next we consider the remaining bilinear terms in the Duhamel form after the normal form transform. Here we have to use the radial improvement of the Strichartz norms. For brevity, we denote the integrals in the Duhamel formula by

$$I_{\mathcal{U}}f := \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\langle D\rangle}f(s)ds, \quad I_{\mathcal{N}}f := \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\alpha D}f(s)ds. \tag{4.40}$$

Lemma 4.5. *(a) There exists $\theta > 0$ and $C(\beta) > 1$ such that for any $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{U}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_{\mathcal{U}}\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U})_{LH}\|_K &\le C(\beta)\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta}, \\ \|I_{\mathcal{U}}\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U})_{RL}\|_K &\le C(\beta)\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) There exists $\theta > 0$ and $C(\beta) > 1$ such that for any $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_{\mathcal{N}}D(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}')_{HH}\|_W &\le C(\beta)\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^{\theta}, \\ \|I_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}')_{RR}\|_W &\le C(\beta)\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In this proof we ignore the dependence of the constants on β.

(a) For $(j,k) \in LH$, we have for $0 \le s \le 1+2\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_j\mathcal{U}_k)\|_{(1-2\varepsilon,\frac12+2\varepsilon,s+2\varepsilon)} \\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14-\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},-\frac14-4\varepsilon)_+}\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+3\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},s+\frac14+6\varepsilon)_\cap} \\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14-\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},-\frac14-2\varepsilon)_+}\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+3\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},\frac54+8\varepsilon)_\cap}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

where in the second inequality we used that k is bounded from below. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14-\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},-\frac14-4\varepsilon)_+} \lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}-\frac13(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,-\frac14-\frac52\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa\pm\varepsilon^2)_+} \\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{1-2\varepsilon}_{(\frac12,\frac14-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac14-\kappa)}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{2\varepsilon}_{(0,0,-\frac32\pm\frac{\varepsilon}{2})_+}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+3\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},\frac54+8\varepsilon)_\cap} \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+3\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},\frac14+8\varepsilon)_\cap} \\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}-\frac35\varepsilon-\frac13(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac25-\frac{14}{5}\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa\pm\varepsilon^2)_\cap} \\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}-\frac35\varepsilon-\frac13(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac{7}{10}-\frac{12}{5}\varepsilon+\frac13(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa-\varepsilon^2)} \\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{1-2\varepsilon}_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac{7}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{2\varepsilon}_{(0,0,-\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}; \end{aligned}$$

and the left hand side of (4.41) is $\dot H^s$-admissible norm for the Strichartz estimate (without the radial symmetry), we obtain the full Strichartz bound in H^1.

For $(j,k) \in RL$, we only have the low frequencies of $\mathcal{U}$ and we may neglect the regularity of $\mathcal{N}$ and the product. Using the radial improved Strichartz,

$$\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_j\mathcal{U}_k)\|_{(\frac12+2\varepsilon,\frac34-3\varepsilon,0)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14-\frac23\varepsilon,0)}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(3\varepsilon,\frac12-\frac73\varepsilon,-\varepsilon^2)} \tag{4.42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(3\varepsilon,\frac12-\frac73\varepsilon,-\varepsilon^2)} \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_k\|_{(3\varepsilon,\frac12-\frac{17}{10}\varepsilon-2\kappa\varepsilon,\frac{19}{10}\varepsilon-6\kappa\varepsilon-\varepsilon^2)} \\ \lesssim&(\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac{6\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac25-\kappa)}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\frac{1-7\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}_{(0,\frac12,0)})^{1-\varepsilon}\|\mathcal{U}_k\|^{\varepsilon}_{(0,0,-\frac12-\varepsilon)}; \end{aligned}$$

Summing these estimates over dyadic pieces in the specified regions, and using non-sharp Sobolev embedding and interpolation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{\mathcal{U}}\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U})_{LH}\|_K \le&C(\beta)\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta}, \\ \|I_{\mathcal{U}}\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U})_{RL}\|_K \le&C(\beta)\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We consider only the case $j \ge k$ for $\mathcal{U}_j\mathcal{U}'_k$, since the other case is treated in the same way. For $(j,k) \in HH$, there are only high frequencies for both $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$. Hence, we have

$$\|\mathcal{U}_j\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(1-2\varepsilon,\frac12+2\varepsilon,1+4\varepsilon)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+\varepsilon,\frac12+2\varepsilon)}\|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+\varepsilon,\frac12+2\varepsilon)} \tag{4.43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+\varepsilon,\frac12+2\varepsilon)} \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}-\frac35\varepsilon-\frac13(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac{13}{20}-\frac{14}{5}\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa)} \\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}-\frac35\varepsilon-\frac13(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac{7}{10}-\frac{12}{5}\varepsilon+\frac13(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa-\varepsilon^2)} \\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_j\|^{1-2\varepsilon}_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac{7}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|^{2\varepsilon}_{(0,0,-\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})}; \end{aligned}$$

In the case $(j,k) \in RR$, since j is bounded from above,

$$\|\mathcal{U}_j\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(\frac12+\varepsilon,\frac34,\frac54+\varepsilon)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+\frac73\varepsilon,\frac54+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)}\|\mathcal{U}'_k\|_{(3\varepsilon,\frac12-\frac73\varepsilon,-\varepsilon^2)}. \tag{4.44}$$

There are only low frequencies in this case. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac14+\frac73\varepsilon,\frac54+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)} \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_j\|_{(\frac12-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}(1-2\varepsilon)-\frac13(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac25-\frac95\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa-\varepsilon^2)}\\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}_j\|^{1-2\varepsilon}_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac25-\kappa)}\|\mathcal{U}_j\|^{2\varepsilon}_{(0,0,-\frac12-\frac12\varepsilon)};\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_{\mathcal{N}}D(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}')_{HH}\|_W \leq&C(\beta)\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^{\theta},\\ \|I_{\mathcal{N}}D(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}')_{RR}\|_W \leq&C(\beta)\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

□

4.2.4. *Duhamel trilinear terms.* Finally we estimate the trilinear terms which appear after the normal transform. These are supposedly the easiest, but there is a small complication due to the fact that we have to use negative Sobolev spaces for $\mathcal{N}$ in some of the products:

$$\begin{aligned}&\|fg\|_{\dot B^{-s}_{r,2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot B^{-s}_{p,2}}\|g\|_{\dot B^{s}_{q,2}}\\ &0 \leq s < 3/q,\ 1/r = 1/p+1/q-s/3.\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

In the next lemma, the constant may decay as $\beta \to \infty$, but we do not need it.

Lemma 4.6. *(a) There exists $\theta > 0$ such that for any $\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V},\mathcal{W},\mathcal{N},\mathcal{N}'$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_{\mathcal{U}}\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(D(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}),\mathcal{W})\|_K \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{V}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{W}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{V}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{W}\|_X^{\theta},\\ \|I_{\mathcal{U}}\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N},\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U}))\|_K \lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}'\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta}\|\mathcal{N}'\|_Y^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) There exists $\theta > 0$ such that for any $\mathcal{N},\mathcal{U},\mathcal{U}'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|I_{\mathcal{N}}D\widetilde{\Omega}(\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{U}')\|_W + \|I_{\mathcal{N}}D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U},\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}'))\|_W\\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (a) Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(D(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V})_j,\mathcal{W}_k)\|_{(1,\frac12,1)} = \|\Omega(D(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V})_j,\mathcal{W}_k)\|_{(1,\frac12,0)}\\ &\lesssim \|(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V})_j\mathcal{W}_k)\|_{(1,\frac12,0)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac13,\frac16,0)}\|\mathcal{V}\|_{(\frac13,\frac16,0)}\|\mathcal{W}\|_{(\frac13,\frac16,0)},\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

by non-sharp Sobolev embedding and interpolation,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac13,\frac16,0)} \lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac13,\frac{4}{15}-\frac29\kappa,\frac{3}{10}-\frac23\kappa)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac13,\frac{4}{15}-\frac29\kappa,\frac16-\frac23\kappa-\varepsilon|\frac12+\frac29\kappa-\varepsilon)}\\ \lesssim&(\|\mathcal{U}\|^{\frac56}_{(\frac12,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac25-\kappa|\frac{7}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\|\mathcal{U}\|^{\frac16}_{(0,\frac12,0|1)})^{\frac45}\|\mathcal{U}\|^{\frac15}_{(0,0,-\frac12-5\varepsilon)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|I_{\mathcal{U}}\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(D(\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}),\mathcal{W})\|_K \lesssim\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{V}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{W}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{V}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{W}\|_X^{\theta}. \tag{4.47}$$

For $\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N}_j,\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U})_k)$, if $(j,k)\in XL$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N}_j,\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U})_k)\|_{(1,\frac{1}{2},1)}=\|\Omega(\mathcal{N}_j,\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U})_k)\|_{(1,\frac{1}{2},0)}\\
\lesssim&\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},5\varepsilon)_+}\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U})_k\|_{(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon,\frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},-5\varepsilon)_\cap}\\
\lesssim&\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},5\varepsilon)_+}\|(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U})_k\|_{(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon,\frac{1}{2}-2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},-\frac{3}{8}-5\varepsilon)_\cap}\\
\lesssim&\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},5\varepsilon)_+}\|\mathcal{N}\|_{(2\varepsilon,\frac{3}{8}-\frac{7}{3}\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},-\frac{3}{8}-5\varepsilon)_+}\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}+2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},\frac{3}{8}+5\varepsilon)_\cap},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.48}$$

where we used the product estimate for negative Sobolev spaces for $\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U}$; and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}+2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},\frac{3}{8}+5\varepsilon)_\cap}\lesssim\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{3}{5}\varepsilon-\frac{1}{3}(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac{21}{40}-\frac{14}{5}\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa\pm\varepsilon^2)_\cap}\\
\lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{3}{5}\varepsilon-\frac{1}{3}(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac{2}{5}-\frac{9}{5}\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa-\varepsilon^2|\frac{7}{10}-\frac{12}{5}\varepsilon+\frac{1}{3}(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa-\varepsilon^2)}\\
\lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}\|^{1-2\varepsilon}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{10}-\frac{\kappa}{3},\frac{2}{5}-\kappa|\frac{7}{10}+\frac{\kappa}{3})}\|\mathcal{U}\|^{2\varepsilon}_{(0,0,-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},5\varepsilon)_+}\lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}-\frac{1}{3}(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{5}{2}\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa\pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2)_+}\\
\lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{1-2\varepsilon}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac{1}{4}-\kappa)}\|\mathcal{N}_j\|^{2\varepsilon}_{(0,0,-\frac{3}{2}\pm\frac{\varepsilon}{4})_+},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{N}\|_{(2\varepsilon,\frac{3}{8}-\frac{7}{3}\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},-\frac{3}{8}-5\varepsilon)_+}\lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}\|_{(2\varepsilon,\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon-\frac{4}{3}\kappa\varepsilon,-\frac{5}{2}\varepsilon-4\kappa\varepsilon\pm\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2)_+}\\
\lesssim&(\|\mathcal{N}\|^{\frac{4\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\kappa}{3},-\frac{1}{4}-\kappa)}\|\mathcal{N}\|^{\frac{1-5\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}_{(0,\frac{1}{2},0)})^{1-\varepsilon}\|\mathcal{N}\|^{\varepsilon}_{(0,0,-\frac{3}{2}\pm\frac{\varepsilon}{2})_+}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $(j,k)\in LL$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Omega(\mathcal{N}_j,\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U})_k)\|_{L^1L^2}\\
\lesssim&\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},0)_+}\|(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U})_k\|_{(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon,\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},0)_\cap}\\
\lesssim&\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},5\varepsilon)_+}\|(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U})_k\|_{(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon,\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},-\frac{3}{8}-5\varepsilon)_\cap}\\
\lesssim&\|D^{-1}\mathcal{N}_j\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,2\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},5\varepsilon)_+}\|\mathcal{N}\|_{(2\varepsilon,\frac{3}{8}-\frac{7}{3}\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},-\frac{3}{8}-5\varepsilon)_+}\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}+\frac{11}{3}\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},\frac{3}{8}+5\varepsilon)_\cap}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.49}$$

By non-sharp Sobolev embedding,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}+\frac{11}{3}\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},\frac{3}{8}+5\varepsilon)_\cap}\lesssim\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{3}{5}\varepsilon-\frac{1}{3}(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac{21}{40}-\frac{39}{5}\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa\pm\varepsilon^2)_\cap}\\
\lesssim&\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{3}{10}-\frac{3}{5}\varepsilon-\frac{1}{3}(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa,\frac{2}{5}-\frac{9}{5}\varepsilon-(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa-\varepsilon^2|\frac{7}{10}-\frac{12}{5}\varepsilon+\frac{1}{3}(1-2\varepsilon)\kappa-\varepsilon^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|I_{\mathcal{U}}\langle D\rangle^{-1}\Omega(\mathcal{N},\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}'\mathcal{U}))\|_K\lesssim\|\mathcal{N}\|^{1-\theta}_W\|\mathcal{N}'\|^{1-\theta}_W\|\mathcal{U}\|^{1-\theta}_K\|\mathcal{N}\|^{\theta}_Y\|\mathcal{N}'\|^{\theta}_Y\|\mathcal{U}\|^{\theta}_X.\tag{4.50}$$

(b)For $D\widetilde{\Omega}(\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{U}')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|D\widetilde{\Omega}(\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{U}')\|_{L^1L^2}\\
\lesssim&\|\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U})\|_{(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon,\frac{3}{8}-2\varepsilon,0)}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{8}+2\varepsilon,0)}\\
\lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon,\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{3},-\frac{3}{8}-5\varepsilon)_+}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}+\frac{11}{3}\varepsilon,\frac{3}{8}+5\varepsilon)_\cap}\\
\lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}\|_{(2\varepsilon,\frac{3}{8}-\frac{7}{3}\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{6},-\frac{3}{8}-5\varepsilon)_+}\|\mathcal{U}\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}+\frac{11}{3}\varepsilon\pm\frac{\varepsilon^2}{3},\frac{3}{8}+5\varepsilon)_\cap}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_{(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon,\frac{1}{4}+\frac{11}{3}\varepsilon,\frac{3}{8}+5\varepsilon)},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.51}$$

where we used the product estimate twice, but did not use any restriction on j, k. Hence we have the same estimate on $D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U}, \langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}'))$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}&\|I_{\mathcal{N}} D\widetilde{\Omega}(\langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}),\mathcal{U}')\|_W + \|I_{\mathcal{N}} D\widetilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{U}, \langle D\rangle^{-1}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{U}'))\|_W\\ \lesssim&\|\mathcal{N}\|_W^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_K^{1-\theta}\|\mathcal{N}\|_Y^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}\|_X^{\theta}\|\mathcal{U}'\|_X^{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof is completed. $\square$

Note that in the above estimates we needed the L_t^∞-type norms only for the bare bilinear terms, but not for the Duhamel terms. Thus we have obtained

Lemma 4.7. *There exist $\theta > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $C(\beta) > 1$ such that for each $\beta \gg 1$ and any $\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_2, \vec{\mathcal{U}}_3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&2^{\theta\beta}\|B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1,\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2)\|_S + \|Q(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1,\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2)\|_S/C(\beta) \lesssim \|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1\|_S^{1-\theta}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2\|_S^{1-\theta}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1\|_Z^{\theta}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2\|_Z^{\theta},\\ &\|T(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1,\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2,\vec{\mathcal{U}}_3)\|_S \lesssim \|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1\|_S^{1-\theta}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2\|_S^{1-\theta}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_S^{1-\theta}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1\|_Z^{\theta}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2\|_Z^{\theta}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_Z^{\theta}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.52}$$

For the Duhamel terms we have also

$$\begin{aligned}&\|Q(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1,\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2)\|_S \lesssim C(\beta)\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1\|_{\widetilde{S}}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2\|_{\widetilde{S}},\\ &\|T(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1,\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2,\vec{\mathcal{U}}_3)\|_S \lesssim \|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_1\|_{\widetilde{S}}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_2\|_{\widetilde{S}}\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_3\|_{\widetilde{S}},\end{aligned}\tag{4.53}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{S} := \widetilde{K} \times \widetilde{W},\\ &\widetilde{K} := [(\eta, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{5}\eta, \frac{4}{5}\eta | 1 - \frac{3}{5}\eta + \frac{8}{3}\kappa\eta) \cap (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{10} - \frac{\kappa}{3}, \frac{2}{5} - \kappa | \frac{7}{10} + \frac{\kappa}{3})],\\ &\widetilde{W} := (\eta, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\eta, -\frac{1}{4}\eta) \cap (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\kappa}{3}, -\frac{1}{4} - \kappa).\end{aligned}\tag{4.54}$$

4.3. **Nonlinear profile approximation.** We will prove Lemma 4.3 and then prove Lemma 4.1 by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.8 (Stability)**.** *For any $A > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$, there exists $\varsigma > 0$ with the following property: Suppose that $\vec{\mathcal{U}}_a$ satisfies $\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}_a\|_{S(0,\infty)} \le A$ and approximately solves the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system in the sense that*

$$\vec{\mathcal{U}}_a = U(t)\vec{\mathcal{U}}_a(0) + U(t)B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_a(0)) - NL(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_a) + \vec{e}, \quad \|\vec{e}\|_{S(0,\infty)} \le \varsigma.$$

Then for any initail data $\vec{\mathcal{U}}(0)$ satisfying $\|\vec{\mathcal{U}}(0) - \vec{\mathcal{U}}_a(0)\|_{H^1\times L^2} < \varsigma$, there is a unique global solution $\vec{\mathcal{U}}$ satisfying $\|\vec{\mathcal{U}} - \vec{\mathcal{U}}_a\|_{S(0,\infty)} < \sigma$.

With J close to $\bar{J}$ and large n, our approximate solution is given by

$$\vec{\mathcal{U}}_n^J = (\mathcal{U}_n^J, \mathcal{N}_n^J) := \sum_{j=1}^{J}(\mathfrak{U}_n^j, \mathfrak{N}_n^j) + (\mathcal{U}_n^{>\mathrm{J}}, \mathcal{N}_n^{>\mathrm{J}}).\tag{4.55}$$

To prove Lemma 4.3, we only need to prove that $\vec{\mathcal{U}}_n^J$ is an approximate solution of the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system. In fact, we have

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose that $\|(\mathfrak{U}_n^j, \mathfrak{N}_n^j)\|_S < \infty$ for all $j < \bar{J}$, then*

$$\lim_{J\to\bar{J}}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|U(t)B(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_n^J(0)) - NL(\vec{\mathcal{U}}_n^J) - \sum_{j=1}^{J}[U(t)B(\vec{\mathfrak{U}}_n^j(0)) - NL(\vec{\mathfrak{U}}_n^j)]\|_S = 0.$$

The proofs of Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9 are almost the same with Zakharov case in [8] since we have got the same nonlinear estimates with the latter. By these two lemmas, we can prove Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.1 similarly as [8]; and hence we omit the details here.

5. Rigidity Theorem

The main purpose of this section is to disprove the existence of critical element that was constructed in the previous section under the assumption $E^* < J(Q)$. The main tool is the spatial localization of the virial identity. We prove

Theorem 5.1 (Rigidity Theorem). *Let* $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N})$ *be a global solution to* (4.2) *satisfying* $K_i(\mathcal{U}) \geq 0$ *for* $i = 0, 2$, *and* $E(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N}) < J(Q)$. *Moreover, assume* $\{(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N})(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *is precompact in* $H^1 \times L^2$. *Then* $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{N} \equiv 0$.

Proof. By contradiction, we assume $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{N}) \neq (0, 0)$. Then by the compactness we may assume further $\mathcal{U} \neq 0$, since otherwise $\mathcal{N}$ would be a free wave and dispersive. We divide the proof into the following three steps:

Step 1: Energy trapping.

We claim that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C > 1$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon(\|\dot{u}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot{n}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2), \tag{5.1}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, there exist $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^t (K_2(u(t)) + \|\dot{n}(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2 + \|n - u^2\|_{L^2}^2) dt > ctE(u, \dot{u}, n, \dot{n}), \tag{5.2}$$

for all $t > 0$.

First, we prove (5.1). Otherwise there exists a sequence t_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|u(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 > k\|\nabla u(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon(\|\dot{u}(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|n(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot{n}(t_k)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2). \tag{5.3}$$

Since $u(t)$ is L^2 bounded, it follows

$$\|\nabla u(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 \to 0.$$

By the precompactness of $\{u(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, we get that up to a subsequence $(u(t_k), n(t_k))$ converges to some (f, g) in $H^1 \times L^2$, so the above implies that $u(t_k) \to 0$ strongly in H^1. Then by the above inequality, we have

$$\|\dot{u}(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n(t_k)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\dot{n}(t_k)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2 \to 0$$

which contradicts to the energy conservation and (2.10).

Next, we prove (5.2). Applying (5.1) with $\varepsilon = 1/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \langle u(t), \dot{u}(t)\rangle_{L^2} &= \|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} nu^2 dx \\
&= \|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|n - u^2\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L^4}^4 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}\|\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|n\|_{L^2}^2 - C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{4\alpha^2}\|\dot{n}\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|n - u^2\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t (C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C}{4\alpha^2}\|\dot n(t)\|_{\dot H^{-1}}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|n-u^2\|_{L^2}^2)dt\\ \geq&\int_0^t (\frac{1}{2}\|\dot u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C-1}{4\alpha^2}\|\dot n\|_{\dot H^{-1}}^2)dt - CE(u,\dot u,n,\dot n)\\ \geq& ctE(u,\dot u,n,\dot n).\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

By Lemma 2.2, (5.2) was obtained.

Step 2: Uniform small tails.

We claim that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that at any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_{|x|\geq R} \big(|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2 + |u|^4 + |\dot u|^2 + |\nabla D^{-1}u^2|^2$$
$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{-1}|\widehat{n}(\xi)|)|^6 + |n|^2 + |D^{-1}\dot n|^2 + |\nabla D^{-1} n|^2\big)dx < \varepsilon.$$

Indeed, since $\{(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{N})(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is precompact in $H^1 \times L^2$, by Sobolev embedding and the L^p-boundedness of $D^{-1}\nabla$, we get the result. Then the claim follows immediately.

Step 3: Contradiction to the local virial estimates.

For any $R > 1$, let $\psi_R(x) = \psi(x/R)$, where $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a fixed radial function satisfying $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\partial_r\psi \leq 0$, $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. We consider the local virial estimates as follows:

$$I_R(t) = -2\langle \psi_R \dot u(t), (x\cdot\nabla + \frac{3}{2})u(t)\rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\langle \psi_R D^{-1}\dot n(t), D^{-1}(x\cdot\nabla + 2)n(t)\rangle. \tag{5.6}$$

First, we observe that

$$|I_R(t)| \lesssim R\|\dot u\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{H^1} + R\|N\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim R \text{ for } \forall t > 0. \tag{5.7}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}I_R'(t) =& -2\frac{d}{dt}\langle \psi_R \dot u(t), (x\cdot\nabla + \frac{3}{2})u(t)\rangle\\ &- \frac{1}{\alpha^2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle \psi_R D^{-1}\dot n(t), D^{-1}(x\cdot\nabla + 2)n(t)\rangle\\ :=& I + II.\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

First, we compute I. Using the equation and integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}I =& -2\langle \psi_R(\triangle u - u + nu), (x\cdot\nabla + \frac{3}{2})u\rangle - 2\langle \psi_R \dot u, (x\cdot\nabla + \frac{3}{2})\dot u\rangle\\ =& 2\langle \nabla\psi_R\cdot\nabla u, (x\cdot\nabla + \frac{3}{2})u\rangle + 2\langle \psi_R, |\nabla u|^2\rangle\\ &- \langle \nabla\psi_R\cdot x, |\nabla u|^2\rangle - \langle \nabla\psi_R\cdot x, |u|^2\rangle\\ &+ \langle \nabla\psi_R\cdot x, nu^2\rangle + \langle \psi_R x\cdot\nabla n, u^2\rangle + \langle \nabla\psi_R\cdot x, |\dot u|^2\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Next, we compute II.

$$\begin{aligned}II=&-\langle\psi_R D^{-1}\triangle(n-u^2),D^{-1}(x\cdot\nabla+2)n\rangle\\&-\frac{1}{\alpha^2}\langle\psi_R D^{-1}\dot n,(x\cdot\nabla+1)D^{-1}\dot n\rangle\\=&\langle\nabla\psi_R\cdot\nabla D^{-1}n,(x\cdot\nabla+1)D^{-1}n\rangle+\frac12\langle\psi_R,|\nabla D^{-1}n|^2\rangle\\&-\frac12\langle x\cdot\nabla\psi_R,|\nabla D^{-1}n|^2\rangle+\langle\psi_R D^{-1}\triangle u^2,D^{-1}(x\cdot\nabla+2)n\rangle\\&+\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\langle\psi_R,|D^{-1}\dot n|^2\rangle+\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\langle x\cdot\nabla\psi_R,|D^{-1}\dot n|^2\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}I_R'(t)=&I+II\\=&2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac12\|n\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot n\|_{\dot H^{-1}}^2-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}nu^2dx+T_R(t)\\=&2K_2(u)+\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot n\|_{\dot H^{-1}}^2+\frac12\|n-u^2\|_{L^2}^2-\langle n-u^2,u^2\rangle+T_R(t),\end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}T_R(t)=&-2\langle 1-\psi_R,|\nabla u|^2\rangle-\frac12\langle 1-\psi_R,|\nabla D^{-1}n|^2\rangle\\&-\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\langle 1-\psi_R,|D^{-1}\dot n|^2\rangle-2\langle 1-\psi_R,\nabla D^{-1}u^2\cdot\nabla D^{-1}n\rangle\\&+2\langle\nabla\psi_R\cdot\nabla D^{-1}u^2,D^{-1}n\rangle-\langle\nabla\psi_R\cdot x,|\nabla u|^2\rangle\\&-\langle\nabla\psi_R\cdot x,|u|^2\rangle+\langle\nabla\psi_R\cdot x,nu^2\rangle\\&+\langle\nabla\psi_R\cdot x,|\dot u|^2\rangle+\langle\nabla\psi_R\cdot\nabla D^{-1}n,(x\cdot\nabla+1)D^{-1}n\rangle\\&-\frac12\langle x\cdot\nabla\psi_R,|\nabla D^{-1}n|^2\rangle+\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\langle x\cdot\nabla\psi_R,|D^{-1}\dot n|^2\rangle\\&-\langle[D,\psi_R](D^{-1}(x\cdot\nabla n)),|u|^2\rangle+2\langle\nabla\psi_R\cdot\nabla u,(x\cdot\nabla+\frac32)u\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

and the commutator

$$[D,\psi_R]f:=D(\psi_R f)-\psi_R Df.\tag{5.13}$$

From Lemma 2.4, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}I_R'(t)=&2K_2(u)+\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot n\|_{\dot H^{-1}}^2+\frac12\|n-u^2\|_{L^2}^2-\langle n-u^2,u^2\rangle+T_R(t)\\\geq&\,2(1-\frac{2}{\sqrt6})K_2(u)+(\frac12-\frac{1}{\sqrt6})\|n-u^2\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\|\dot n\|_{\dot H^{-1}}^2+T_R(t)\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

for $\forall t\geq 0$. Assuming for the moment that $\lim_{R\to\infty}T_R(t)=0$, by (5.2), we have

$$\int_0^t I_R'(t)dt\geq ctE(u,\dot u,n,\dot n)\tag{5.15}$$

for R sufficiently large. Thus we get

$$I_R(t)\geq I_R(0)+ctE(u,\dot u,n,\dot n),$$

which contradicts (5.7) for sufficiently large t.

To prove $\lim\limits_{R\to\infty} T_R(t) = 0$, it remains to handle the commutator term

$$C_R(t) := \langle [D, \psi_R](D^{-1}(x\cdot\nabla n)), |u|^2\rangle,$$

since all the rest terms in $T_R(t)$ follow immediately from step 2 and Hölder inequality. Note that

$$[D, \psi_R](D^{-1}(x\cdot\nabla n)) = [D, \psi_R](x\cdot\nabla D^{-1}n) - [D, \psi_R](D^{-1}n). \tag{5.16}$$

Then this term follows from the lemma below by taking $f = D^{-1}n$. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. *Assume* $0 < \epsilon < 1$ *and* $R \gtrsim 1$. *Then*

$$\|[D, \psi_R]f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^6(|x|\gtrsim R^{1-\epsilon})} + R^{-\epsilon}\|Df\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.17}$$

$$\|[D, \psi_R]x\cdot\nabla f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|Df\|_{L^2}, \tag{5.18}$$

$$\|[D, \psi_R]x\cdot\nabla f\|_{L^2(|x|\lesssim R^{1-\epsilon})} \lesssim R^{-\epsilon/2}\|Df\|_{L^2} + \|g\|_{L^6(|x|\gtrsim R^{1-\epsilon})}, \tag{5.19}$$

where $g = \mathcal{F}^{-1}|\hat{f}|$.

Proof. First we show (5.17). Taking Fourier transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{F}([D, \psi_R]f)(\xi)| \\
\lesssim& \Big|\int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2} (|\xi_1+\xi_2| - |\xi_2|)\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\Big| \\
\lesssim& \int_{|\xi_1|\gtrsim|\xi_2|} |\xi_1||\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|\cdot|\widehat{f}(\xi_2)| + \int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|} |\xi_1||\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|\cdot|\widehat{f}(\xi_2)| \\
\lesssim& \int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2} |\xi_1||\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|\cdot|\widehat{f}(\xi_2)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[D, \psi_R]f\|_2 \lesssim& \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi_1||\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|)\cdot g\|_2 \\
\lesssim& \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi_1||\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|)\|_3\|g\|_{L^6(|x|\gtrsim R^{1-\epsilon})} + \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi_1||\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|)\|_{L^3(|x|\lesssim R^{1-\epsilon})}\|g\|_{L^6} \\
\lesssim& \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi_1||\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|)\|_3\|g\|_{L^6(|x|\gtrsim R^{1-\epsilon})} + R^{-\epsilon}\|Df\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi_1||\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|)| \lesssim R^{-1}$ and embedding.

For (5.18) and (5.19), direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{F}([D, \psi_R]x\cdot\nabla f)(\xi) \\
=& -\int (|\xi| - |\xi_2|)\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi-\xi_2)\nabla_{\xi_2}\cdot(\xi_2\widehat{f}(\xi_2))d\xi_2 \\
=& \int \nabla_{\xi_2}[(|\xi| - |\xi_2|)\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi-\xi_2)]\cdot\xi_2\widehat{f}(\xi_2)d\xi_2 \\
=& \int -|\xi_2|\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi-\xi_2)\widehat{f}(\xi_2)d\xi_2 + i\int (|\xi| - |\xi_2|)\widehat{x\psi_R}(\xi-\xi_2)\cdot\xi_2\widehat{f}(\xi_2)d\xi_2 \\
=& \int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2} -|\xi_2|\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)\widehat{f}(\xi_2) + i(|\xi_1+\xi_2| - |\xi_2|)\widehat{x\psi_R}(\xi_1)\cdot\xi_2\widehat{f}(\xi_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$|\mathcal{F}([D^\alpha,\psi_R]x\cdot\nabla f)(\xi)|\lesssim\int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2}|\xi_2|(|\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|+|\widehat{x\psi_R}(\xi_1)|\cdot|\xi_1|)\cdot|\widehat{f}(\xi_2)|$$

and then

$$\|[D,\psi_R]x\cdot\nabla f\|_{L^2}\lesssim\|Df\|_{L^2}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}([D,\psi_R]x\cdot\nabla f)(\xi)\\=&\int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2,|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}-|\xi_2|\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)\widehat{f}(\xi_2)+i(|\xi_1+\xi_2|-|\xi_2|)\widehat{x\psi_R}(\xi_1)\cdot\xi_2\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\\&+\int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2,|\xi_1|\gtrsim|\xi_2|}-|\xi_2|\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)\widehat{f}(\xi_2)+i(|\xi_1+\xi_2|-|\xi_2|)\widehat{x\psi_R}(\xi_1)\cdot\xi_2\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\\:=&\mathcal{F}[M(f)]+\mathcal{F}[E(f)].\end{aligned}$$

As before, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}[E(f)](\xi)|\lesssim\int_{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2}|\xi_1|(|\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|+|\widehat{x\psi_R}(\xi_1)|\cdot|\xi_1|)\cdot|\widehat{f}(\xi_2)|$$

and then

$$\|Ef\|_2\lesssim\|g\|_{L^6(|x|\gtrsim R^{1-\epsilon})}+R^{-\epsilon}\|Df\|_{L^2},.$$

To estimate $M(f)$, we need to exploit a cancelation. Since

$$|\xi_1+\xi_2|-|\xi_2|=\frac{(\xi_1+2\xi_2)}{|\xi_1+\xi_2|+|\xi_2|}\cdot\xi_1$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}[M(f)]=&\int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}-|\xi_2|\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\\&+\int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}\frac{(\xi_1+2\xi_2)}{|\xi_1+\xi_2|+|\xi_2|}\cdot i\xi_1\widehat{x\psi_R}(\xi_1)\cdot\xi_2\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\end{aligned}\tag{5.20}$$

Denote $\xi_s=(\xi_{s,1},\xi_{s,2},\xi_{s,3})$, $s=1,2$, then the second term equals to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\frac{(\xi_{1,k}+2\xi_{2,k})}{|\xi_1+\xi_2|+|\xi_2|}\cdot i\xi_{1,k}\widehat{x_j\psi_R}(\xi_1)\cdot\xi_{2,j}\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\\=&\int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}\frac{(\xi_1+2\xi_2)}{|\xi_1+\xi_2|+|\xi_2|}\cdot\xi_2\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\\&+\int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}\frac{(\xi_1+2\xi_2)}{|\xi_1+\xi_2|+|\xi_2|}\cdot\widehat{x\otimes\nabla\psi_R}(\xi_1)\cdot\xi_2\widehat{f}(\xi_2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}[M(f)] =&\int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}\Big(\frac{(\xi_1+2\xi_2)}{|\xi_1+\xi_2|+|\xi_2|}\cdot\xi_2-|\xi_2|\Big)\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)\widehat{f}(\xi_2)\\ &+\int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|} i\frac{(\xi_1+2\xi_2)}{|\xi_1+\xi_2|+|\xi_2|}\cdot\frac{\xi_2}{|\xi_2|}\widehat{\tilde{\psi}_R}(\xi_1)\widehat{Df}(\xi_2)\\ =&\mathcal{F}[I]+\mathcal{F}[II].\end{aligned}$$

For I, by mean value formula, we have

$$|I|\lesssim\int_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}|\xi_1|\cdot|\widehat{\psi_R}(\xi_1)|\cdot|\widehat{f}(\xi_2)|$$

and then

$$\|I\|_2\lesssim\|g\|_{L^6(|x|\gtrsim R^{1-\epsilon})}+R^{-\epsilon}\|Df\|_{L^2}.$$

For II, we see

$$II=\int K(x-y_1,x-y_2)\tilde{\psi}_R(y_1)Df(y_2)dy_1dy_2$$

where K is the kernel for the bilinear multiplier

$$K(x,y)=\int e^{i(x\xi_1+y\xi_2)}m(\xi_1,\xi_2)d\xi_1d\xi_2$$

with the symbol

$$m(\xi_1,\xi_2)=\frac{(\xi_1+2\xi_2)}{|\xi_1+\xi_2|+|\xi_2|}\cdot\frac{\xi_2}{|\xi_2|}\cdot 1_{|\xi_1|\ll|\xi_2|}.$$

It is easy to see from direct computations that m satisfy the Coifman-Meyer's Hörmander-type condition, and then

$$|K(x-y_1,x-y_2)|\lesssim(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{-6}.$$

If $|y_1|\sim R, |x|\lesssim R^{1-\epsilon}$, then $|K(x-y_1,x-y_2)|\lesssim(R+|y_2|)^{-6}$. Thus we get

$$\|II\|_{L^2(|x|\lesssim R^{1-\epsilon})}\lesssim R^{-\epsilon/2}\|Df\|_2.$$

Therefore, the lemma is proved. □

Acknowledgment. Z. Guo is supported in part by NNSF of China (NO. 11001003, NO.11271023). S. Wang is supported by China Scholarship Council and also in part by NNSF of China (NO. 11001003, NO.11271023).

REFERENCES

[1] P. M. Bellan, Fundamentals of plasmas physics, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[2] H. Bahouri and P. Gérard, High frequency approximation of solutions to critical nonlinear wave equations, Amer. J. Math. 121(1)(1999), 131–175.

[3] H. Berestycki, P. L. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82(4)(1983), 313-345.

[4] C. Coffman, Uniqueness of the ground state solution for $\Delta u-u+u^3=0$ and a variational characterization of other solutions, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 46(1983), 81-95.

[5] R. O. Dency, Plasma dynamics, Oxford University Press, 1990.

[6] Z. Gan, B. Guo and J. Zhang, Instability of standing wave, global existence and blowup for the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system with different-degree nonlinearities, J. Diff. Equ., 246(10)(2009), 4097-4128.

[7] Z. Guo and K. Nakanishi, Small energy scattering for the Zakharov system with radial symmetry, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2013) (doi: 10.1093/imrn/rns296) (published online).

[8] Z. Guo, K. Nakanishi and S. Wang, Global dynamics below the ground state energy for the Zakharov system in the 3D radial case, Advances in Mathematics 238(2013)412-441. (dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2013.02.008)

[9] Z. Guo, K. Nakanishi and S. Wang, Small energy scattering for the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system with radial symmetry, arXiv:1208.1657v1 [math.AP].

[10] Z. Gan and J. Zhang, Instability of standing waves for Klein-Gordon-Zakharov equations with different propagation speeds in three space dimensions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 307(2005), 219-231.

[11] S. Ibrahim, N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi, Scattering threshold for the focusing nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, Anal. PDE, no.3, 4(2011) 405-460.

[12] C. E. Kenig, F. Merle, Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing, non-linear Schrödinger equation in the radial case, Invent. Math. 166(2006), no. 3, 645–675.

[13] S. Klainerman and M. Machedon, Space-time estimates for null forms and the local existence theorem, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 46(9): 1221-1268, 1993.

[14] H. Lindblad and Counterexamples to local existense for semi-linear wave equations. Amer. J. Math. 118(1): 1-16, 1996.

[15] N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi, From the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system to the nonlinear Schröinger equation, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. 2(4)(2005) 975-1008.

[16] N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi, Energy convergence for singular limits of Zakharov type systems, Invent. Math. 172(3)(2008) 535-583.

[17] N. Masmoudi and K. Nakanishi, From the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system to a singular nonlinear Schröinger system, Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN 27 (2010) 1073-1096.

[18] K. Nakanishi, W. Schlag, Global dynamics above the ground state energy for the focusing nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, J. Differential Equations 250(2011), 2299-2333.

[19] M. Ohta and G. Todorova, Strong instability of standing waves for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation and the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov system, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 38 no. 6 (2007), 1912-1931.

[20] T. Ozawa, K. Tsutaya and Y. Tsutsumi, Well-posedness in energy space for the Cauchy problem of the Klein-Gordon-Zakharov equations with different propagation speeds in three space dimensions, Math. Ann. 313(1999), no. 1, 127-140.

[21] W. A. Strass, Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. 55(2)(1977), 149-162.

LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
E-mail address: `zihuaguo@math.pku.edu.cn`

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
E-mail address: `n-kenji@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
E-mail address: `wangshuxia@pku.edu.cn`